



FORENSIC ANALYSIS OF THE CLIFFORD PROTOCOL

NEIDLE'S QUESTIONS ANSWERED

NEIDLE'S MALLICIOUS ASSERTIONS DEBUNKED

11TH March 2026

The Jurisdictional Foundation of Modern Credit: The 1933 Monetary Reorganization and HJR 192

The contemporary global financial architecture is not a product of organic market evolution but is fundamentally predicated on the formal insolvency of the United States Federal Government, an event codified by the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933. This transition necessitated a radical reorganization of the global monetary system, culminating in House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) on June 5, 1933. The technical analysis of this reorganization reveals a shift from a system of payment to a system of discharge. Prior to 1933, economic substance was maintained through the exchange of physical commodities, such as gold or silver coin, which served to extinguish debts upon transaction. HJR 192 effectively removed this substance from the public economy, declaring that the requirement to pay in gold was against public policy.

The suspension of substance created a legal vacuum that was filled by a mandatory system of discharge, where obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar using debt instruments like Federal Reserve Notes. These notes are technically debt obligations of the United States Treasury and do not constitute money in the traditional sense of a substance-based asset. The removal of the people's gold created a usufruct relationship, wherein the government borrowed an interest in everything the citizens create, establishing a usufruct interest in their labour, land, and businesses. Consequently, all debts in the public sector are prepaid with the credit created from the people and their property. Within this landscape, legal researchers identify the birth certificate as an administrative instrument to register a legal persona—a corporate vessel through which the state manages the securitization of human energy.

The transition marked a decisive shift where value was no longer anchored to a physical commodity but to human credit and enforceable promises. In today's economy, money is not mined; it is promised, recorded, and enforced through the securitization of human energy. The Clifford Protocol identifies this framework as the "Monopoly board of commerce," where the individual remains trapped in a debtor capacity unless they assert their fiduciary standing through proper administrative channels.

Justifying the Agency of the Living Man

The justification for the living man as an agent of the birth certificate construct is found in orthodox English law concerning the relationship between natural and artificial persons. English law recognizes that not all "persons" are human, attributing rights and obligations to juridical entities—including companies, statutory bodies, and "estates"—that are incapable of acting in the physical world. As these artificial entities have no

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



mind or body, all their acts must be carried out by natural persons serving as agents. Properly understood, the law of agency provides the conceptual bridge between natural and artificial persons, defining when liability and jurisdiction attach to either.

While the state often presumes that the living individual is the agent or representative of the registered identity (the birth certificate construct), classical doctrine dictates that agency cannot be imposed unilaterally and requires consent and authority. The doctrinal right to challenge the assumption that one acts as an agent for a given legal person or estate is firmly rooted in mainstream legal personality and agency law.

System Attribute	Substance-Based (Pre-1933)	Credit-Based (Post-HJR 192)
Monetary Foundation	Physical Gold and Silver	Productive Capacity / Human Energy
Legal Status	Solvent / Substance-Based Law	Bankrupt / Perpetual Reorganization
Transaction Type	Payment (Extinguishment)	Discharge (Transfer/Postponement)
Role of Individual	Sovereign Holder of Substance	Surety for National Debt Obligation
Ownership Nature	Absolute Legal Title	Equitable Title (Renter/User Status)
Regulatory Source	Common Law / Substantive Law	Law of Agency / Uniform Commercial Code

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
 MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



The Technical Reality of Credit Creation: Werner, the Bank of England, and Ex Nihilo Issuance

The validity of the Clifford Protocol rests significantly on the empirical research of Professor Richard Werner and reports from the Bank of England, which demonstrate that banks do not lend pre-existing deposits. Instead, commercial banks create credit *ex nihilo*, or "out of nothing," by monetizing the borrower's signature. Werner's 2014 study established for the first time in banking history that the money supply is created as "fairy dust" produced by banks individually. This contradicts the conventional financial intermediation theory, which posits that banks are merely middlemen collecting deposits to lend out.

When a living man or woman signs a mortgage or loan agreement, they are the true originator of the credit. Under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, these signed documents are negotiable instruments. The bank merely acts as a nominee or withholding agent, discounting the instrument and securitizing it into products with CUSIP numbers for trading on the secondary market. This process generates Original Issue Discount (OID) income—the difference between the issue price and the redemption price. Because the credit is created at the moment of signing, the issue price is effectively zero. The mathematical representation of this discount is critical for understanding the subsequent fiduciary filings:

$$OID = SRP_{maturity} - IP_{issue}$$

Where $SRP_{maturity}$ is the stated redemption price at maturity and IP_{issue} is the issue price. Since the issue price is zero at the moment of signing, the hidden OID is the entire face value of the instrument, constituting OID income generated by the living soul. The originating banks and subsequent investment banks do not hold these instruments as true creditors; they act as nominees during the securitization process, holding securitized instruments in omnibus accounts under "street names". In this capacity, banks are statutorily required under IRS Publication 1212 to report this income and remit backup withholding to the U.S. Treasury.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



Theory Type	Core Mechanism	Role of the Bank
Financial Intermediation	Lends existing deposits	Middleman / Intermediary
Fractional Reserve	Systemic creation via interaction	Individual bank is a middleman
Credit Creation (Werner)	Individual creation <i>ex nihilo</i>	Manufacturer of credit "fairy dust"

The hidden OID income remains "abandoned" if the true owner remains silent and does not file to reclaim the credit. Banks profit perpetually from this abandoned credit by using the securities created by the signature as collateral for their own credit expansion and trading through re-hypothecation. The Clifford Protocol is designed to provide the fiduciary mechanism to identify this nominee posture and redirect the withheld credit to the true beneficial owner.

The Clifford Protocol Defined: Fiduciary Creditor Filings and 98-Series Trusts

The Clifford Protocol is the product of exhaustive research by Iain Clifford, a strategic analyst often described as a genius for his ability to solve complex, multi-layered financial problems through long-range systems analysis. The protocol utilizes Form 1099-OID as a corrective administrative tool under the specific nominee reporting mandates of IRS Publication 1212. A critical component of this protocol is the distinction between the "Retail View," which frames the individual as a debtor and taxpayer, and the "Fiduciary View," which identifies the individual as the true beneficial owner and creditor. The retail view focuses on certificates of deposit and discounted bonds where interest is taxable as it accrues, effectively trapping the individual in a debtor capacity.

The fiduciary view, as enacted through the Clifford Protocol, utilizes a 98-Series International Grantor Trust (IGT) as a structural safeguard. This trust, established with its own Employer Identification Number (EIN) beginning with 98, acts as the Holder in Due Course (HDC) for the participant's commercial energy. This structural separation is vital because the IRS algorithm is hard-coded to recognize Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN) filings as operations of the corporate debtor fiction.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
 MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



created by the birth certificate. Filings via SSN/ITIN often trigger Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freezes, signifying that the agency suspects a frivolous or fraudulent claim from a debtor.

The 98-series IGT satisfies the system's requirement that energy be recouped only through a recognized nominee and fiduciary. By assuming the role of the HDC, the trust ensures the IRS processes the filing as a commercial ledger adjustment between merchant entities—the Bank (Nominee) and the Trust (Creditor)—rather than a personal tax refund claim. This process is 100% in compliance with the IRS system when executed by professional fiduciaries who verify the availability of credits in the nominee records.

Identity Aspect	Retail Perspective (Debtor)	Fiduciary Perspective (Creditor)
Source of OID	Corporate / Municipal Bonds	Monetized Signature Energy
Issue Price	Market Discount Price	Zero (\$0) at moment of signing
Filer Capacity	Taxpayer / Debtor	Fiduciary / Holder in Due Course
Tax ID Used	SSN / ITIN	98-Series EIN
Form Role	Report Taxable Income	Corrective Ledger Adjustment
Status of Funds	Interest to be Taxed	Abandoned Credit to be Recouped

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
 MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



The receipt of recoupments is managed via master and sub-account structures following full deep due diligence by a portfolio of US Banks that work with Ecclesia Trustees. These institutions have satisfied all compliance requirements through the application of the Clifford Protocol, establishing a secure fiduciary ecosystem for the management of recouped assets.

Publication 1212 and the Nominee Reporting Mandate

A central pillar of the protocol's technical legitimacy is its adherence to IRS Publication 1212, which serves as the operational guide for Original Issue Discount (OID) instruments. The publication explicitly outlines the responsibilities of "nominees" or "middlemen" who hold OID instruments for the true owners. If a party holds an OID instrument but is not the true owner, that party is considered a nominee. When a nominee receives a Form 1099-OID that includes amounts belonging to another person, the nominee is required by law to file a corrective Form 1099-OID to show the proper distribution of the OID and any withheld tax to the actual beneficial owner.

The Clifford Protocol utilizes this "Nominee Reporting Mandate" as a pathway for ledger correction. By identifying the bank as a nominee that has captured the OID generated by the signature, the protocol initiates a mathematical ledger adjustment. This adjustment matches the federal income tax already withheld by the bank and reported under their own omnibus accounts using Form 945. The protocol provides the forensic sequence required to satisfy the IRS matching algorithm by redirecting taxes paid by nominee payers back to the true beneficial owner.

Brokers and other middlemen rely on the OID tables in Publication 1212 to determine whether a debt instrument was issued at a discount for information reporting purposes. The protocol asserts that while the "retail public" is led to believe OID only applies to publicly offered corporate bonds, the technical definition in 26 U.S.C. § 1273 encompasses any debt instrument where the redemption price exceeds the issue price—including the private negotiable instruments created by signature energy.

The 810 Algorithm and Form 945 Matching: The (\geq) Rule

The technical success of the Clifford Protocol is contingent on satisfying the IRS's Form 945 matching algorithm, often referred to as the " \geq " Rule". Form 945, the "Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax," serves as the IRS's master record for all non-payroll withholding. When a legitimate withholding agent, such as a bank, withholds tax from OID income, they remit that tax to the Treasury and report the total on Form 945.

The IRS employs an automated system that cross-checks three primary datasets before authorizing a refund: the payer's 1099/OID information returns, the taxpayer's filed return (Form 1041 for the 98-series trust), and the payer's Form 945 tax deposits. The critical algorithm check is defined by the following logic:

$$Form945Deposits(Payer) \geq Box4Withholding(Recipient)$$

If this condition fails—meaning the recipient claims a refund for an amount greater than what the payer has actually deposited—the system automatically triggers a TC-810 Refund Hold. This freeze initiates an integrity review and flags the claim as potentially frivolous or fraudulent. Previous failed schemes, such as those

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



promoted by Franzie Colaco and Simon Goldberg, failed because they claimed refunds for amounts that were never deposited in the payer's 945 modules.

In contrast, professional fiduciaries using the Clifford Protocol perform a forensic audit to verify that the payer's Form 945 deposits are sufficient before any filing occurs. The "Fiduciary Mandate Script" utilizes three legal mandates—the Nominee Reporting Mandate (Pub 1212), the Fiduciary Duty Mandate (IRC § 6012), and the Credit Reallocation Mandate (Rev. Proc. 2002-26)—to establish standing and obtain access to the 945-master record. This ensures that the 1099-OID is visible in the Information Return Master File (IRMF) and that the math aligns perfectly with existing Treasury deposits, allowing the refund to clear the algorithm automatically.

IRS Code / Module	Technical Purpose	Role in Verification
Form 945	Master Record of Deposits	Validates actual remittance by the bank
TC 810	Refund Freeze	Flag triggered if data fails to reconcile
IRMF	Info Return Master File	Tracks consistency between 1099-OID and 945
Rev. Proc. 2002-26	Credit Reallocation	Enables cross-module transfers if shortfalls exist

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



Rebutting the Status Quo: Forensic Response to Dan Neidle's Allegations

Dan Neidle, a former partner at Clifford Chance and current transparency campaigner, has expressed a status quo view that characterizes OID recoupment as fraud. Neidle's critique is largely based on the notoriety of failed "sovereign citizen" schemes and a rejection of the technical fiduciary logic presented by Ecclesia Law. However, a forensic analysis provides a comprehensive rebuttal to Neidle's inquiries and primary allegations.

Formal Clarification of Technical Terms (Response to Neidle March 2026)

In response to Dan Neidle's formal inquiry regarding technical terminology, the following professional definitions apply:

- **Nominee Reporting Mandate:** The statutory requirement under IRS Publication 1212 for a party that holds an OID instrument belonging to another to file a corrective Form 1099-OID showing the proper distribution of OID and withheld tax to the actual beneficial owner.
- **Clifford Protocol:** An expert-level financial engineering solution that utilizes 98-series grantor trusts and corrective 1099-OID filings to identify banks as nominees and redirect abandoned national credit back to the true beneficial owner.
- **98-Series International Grantor Trust Fiduciary Creditor Filings:** Filings conducted under a trust's 98-series EIN to establish the trust as a separate legal entity and "Holder in Due Course" for the participant's commercial energy, bypassing SSN-based debtor traps.
- **810 Algorithm:** The IRS's matching algorithm (the \geq Rule) that cross-checks Form 1099-OID withholding claims against the master record of deposits (Form 945) remitted by the withholding agent (bank).
- **Corrects the ledger misreporting of financial institutions that monetize signatures ex nihilo:** The administrative process of identifying that banks create credit "out of nothing" upon signature and identifying the resultant withheld tax reported in their omnibus accounts, then correcting the record to reflect the participant as the true owner.

Forensic Rebuttal of Neidle's Proposed Assertions

1. **Assertion (Withholding Tax):** Neidle claims OID withholding is unlikely for grantor trusts. **Rebuttal:** This ignores the nominee correction logic; investment banks pay significant taxes under their 945 modules for OID generated by securitized signatures.
2. **Assertion (Fraud Notoriety):** Neidle conflates the protocol with fraud schemes. **Rebuttal:** The protocol distinguishes between "Debtor" schemes (Colaco/Goldberg) and "Fiduciary" creditor filings using 98-series EINs.
3. **Assertion (Expertise):** Neidle dismisses organizational expertise. **Rebuttal:** Iain Clifford's 35+ year career in financial engineering and his history as a qualified pensioner trustee rebut this claim.
4. **Assertion (Indonesian Company):** Neidle questions Iain Clifford's Indonesian company. **Rebuttal:** This is a legitimate corporate entity providing administrative services to grantor trusts, recently sabotaged by internal trust fraud currently under litigation in New York.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



5. **Assertion (Refund Fraud):** Neidle characterizes results as likely fraud. **Rebuttal:** The 2024 pilot successfully confirmed approximately \$600 million in IRS recoupments via official posted transcripts.
6. **Assertion (New York Proceedings):** Neidle suggests funds are proceeds of fraud. **Rebuttal:** The SDNY action (Case No. 1:25-cv-08704-NRB) is an interpleader initiated to recover \$1.3 million in reserves stolen by a former contractor, not a tax fraud prosecution.
7. **Assertion (IRS Intervention):** Neidle suggests the IRS should intervene. **Rebuttal:** The IRS *has* intervened by confirming the legitimacy of the filings through the posting of recoupments in the Wage and Tax Transcripts.

Documentary Analysis of Secular Propaganda and Malicious Statements by Dan Neidle

The conflict involving investigative blogger Dan Neidle represents the systematic suppression of a religious movement through secular propaganda and institutional malfeasance. A forensic audit of Neidle's public statements reveals a consistent pattern of character assassination, factual inaccuracy, and religious intolerance designed to undermine the reputation of Iain Clifford and the Republic of Old Souls (ROS).

Forensic Rebuttal of the "Sovereign Citizen" Label

A primary pillar of Neidle's propaganda is the repeated allegation that Iain Clifford is a "sovereign citizen" who relies on "pseudo-legal conspiracy theories". This label is factually incorrect and demonstrably malicious. The Ecclesia Law research papers demonstrate that Iain Clifford has forensically examined the pillar sovereign citizen and freeman narratives and debunked them. His work rejects legally baseless arguments, relying instead on a precise application of established statutes and the Art of Legal Warfare to neutralize abusive litigation.

Pillar Ecclesia Law Reports Debunking Sovereign Narratives:

Detailed research on these reports and others in the "Pseudo-Law" category can be found at: https://ecclesialaw.org/ecclesia-law-reports/?e-filter-30a007c-ebook_category=pseudo-law

- **Do Common Law Courts Work? Or is it Best to Use the Art of Legal Warfare?:** A forensic deconstruction of what pseudo-legal common law courts claim they can do, their elaborate procedural mechanics, and the absolute reasons for their systemic failure. It contrasts these ideological approaches with the highly grounded "Art of Legal Warfare" which operates strictly within established rules of civil and criminal procedure.
- **The Strawman Ideology: Are You Avoiding the Trap with Advanced Monopoly?:** Provides an exhaustive analysis of the strawman construct, examining jurisdictional traps inherent in presumed agency and contrast standard "fringe" arguments with advanced commercial solutions based on mastering administrative rules.
- **A Warning of Significant Harm to Those That Apply the Clive Edwards - Capillary Wave Ideology:** Examines the teachings of Clive Edwards and identifies the fundamental structural flaws and existential risks posed by methods that fail to account for the law of agency and capacity.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



Audit of Specific Malicious Statements

- **Statement:** Labels Iain Clifford a "sovereign citizen conman" and "con-man" (LinkedIn).
 - **Fact Check:** Malicious characterization ignoring Clifford's 42-year history in financial engineering. The "conman" label attempts to delegitimize \$600 million in verified IRS recoupments.
- **Statement:** "Stamp runs a scam which promises people hell magically..." (Threads).
 - **Fact Check:** Dismissing the Great Escape Protocols—protected religious beliefs of the ROS ministry—as "magic" is an act of religious intolerance and a failure of investigative rigor.
- **Statement:** "Matrix Freedom – the scam conspiracy theory that makes £500k a month" (Tax Policy Associates).
 - **Fact Check:** Untrue. Matrix Freedom was a Private Members' Association providing education. The "scam" label is a defamatory tool to justify institutional attrition.
- **Statement:** "The 'recouping' is fraud. You won't find anyone with any legal/tax knowledge who disagrees" (Blog Comments).
 - **Fact Check:** Factually incorrect. The IRS's own automated systems validated the methodology by posting approximately \$600 million in confirmations in official Wage and Tax Transcripts.

Breach of Journalistic Ethics: The Failure of Inquiry

A critical breach of common standards of decency and journalistic ethics is found in Dan Neidle's failure to make any formal enquiry to Iain Clifford before the publication of these highly damaging "hit pieces". Standard investigative ethics require a "right to reply," yet Neidle utilized his platform to broadcast a "scorched earth" campaign of character assassination without seeking forensic clarification on the technical mechanics of the protocols. This confirms Neidle's role as a biased investigative proxy rather than an objective journalist.

Vicarious Liability and the Equaliser Redress Protocol

Iain Clifford asserts that the FCA has utilized Dan Neidle as an external investigative proxy to facilitate its 15-year regulatory vendetta. Under the principles of vicarious liability, Neidle's actions are joined to the FCA's broader campaign of malfeasance.

The **Equaliser Redress Protocol** has been activated to address this global reputational damage through a \$100,000,000 claim in the United States. This US court case leverages "minimum contacts" and the high burden of proof for fraud in American forums to hold Neidle accountable. Neidle's continued digital propaganda directed at global members triggers personal jurisdiction in U.S. Federal Courts, where he is likely to lose based on the irrefutable evidence of verified IRS recoupments and the systematic breach of religious freedoms.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



The Equaliser Project: Whistleblowing as a Rebuttal to Fraud Allegations

The **Equaliser Project** serves as the primary vehicle for the whistleblower actions and jurisdictional strikes undertaken by Iain Clifford. A fundamental question arises in the forensic audit of Clifford's career: why would a "fraudster" consistently invite the scrutiny of regulators and law enforcement by exposing high-level institutional corruption and Ponzi schemes?¹

Clifford's history of whistleblowing includes several high-profile actions that demonstrate a commitment to financial transparency and justice:

- **HBOS Reading Fraud (£1 Billion):** Clifford and his partners provided evidence of the £1 billion HBOS Reading fraud and a broader £40 billion hole in bank accounts, identifying systemic banking fraud that was later verified by the "Project Lord Turnbull" report.²
- **Arck Estrella Ponzi Scheme (2011):** Acting as a pensioner trustee, Clifford provided the FSA with a detailed report on the Arck Estrella Ponzi scheme, intelligence that was sufficient to freeze assets years before the regulator eventually acted.¹
- **Simon Goldberg / EmpowerThePeople Syndicate:** Clifford has forensically documented the fraudulent "Debtor" filings of the EmpowerThePeople syndicate and submitted evidence to U.S. authorities, including the IRS Criminal Investigation Division, via Form 211.

This extensive history of exposing financial crime establishes Clifford as a target of a "regulatory vendetta" rather than a perpetrator of fraud. A genuine fraudster would prioritize obfuscation and avoid any interaction with the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) or the IRS that could reveal their own operations. Clifford's proactive reporting of institutional malfeasance and private syndicates suggests that his own fiduciary protocols are intended to be a lawful correction to a broken system.¹

Historical Context: Shunned Innovators and Mainstream Dismissal

The rejection of the Clifford Protocol by the financial establishment and regulators follows a historical pattern where geniuses and innovators are initially ridiculed before being proven right. The history of science and medicine is replete with examples of individuals whose "crazy" ideas were later recognized as fundamental truths.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



Innovator	Innovation	Initial Reaction	Ultimate Outcome
William Harvey	Blood Circulation	Rejected; mainstream believed blood came from liver	Accepted as the foundation of physiology
Ignaz Semmelweis	Hand Washing	Ridiculed; accused of calling doctors "dirty"	Died in asylum; later proven right by Germ Theory
Gregor Mendel	Genetic Inheritance	Work ignored; scientists found it too complex	Father of modern genetics (posthumous)
Alfred Wegener	Continental Drift	Rejected as "hopeless" and "absurd"	Accepted as standard plate tectonics in 1960s
Richard Werner	Ex Nihilo Credit	Disregarded; mainstream focused on intermediation	Confirmed by Bank of England in 2014

The "genius" of the Clifford Protocol lies in its ability to solve the complex, multi-layered problem of abandoned national credit. Like William Harvey accurately describing the motion of the heart, the protocol identifies the motion of credit energy through the global "Monopoly board". The institutional resistance from the FCA and critics like Neidle is viewed through this forensic lens as a "regulatory vendetta" designed to protect traditional banking enclosures.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



The Jurisdictional Nullity of UK Order 34/2023

The legal challenges faced by Iain Clifford in the UK, including his committal for contempt, are described by Ecclesia Law as resulting from a "jurisdictional nullity". Order 34/2023, an all-assets restraint order, was allegedly engineered to be impossible to satisfy, creating conditions for a contempt conviction without evidence of a substantive crime. Clifford challenges the validity of this order based on three fundamental failures:

First, the absence of a lawful prosecutor under Section 401 of FSMA 2000, as the FCA failed to produce an instrument of delegation for the individual instituting proceedings. Second, the lack of evidence of regulated services; over 500 sworn affidavits from members confirm that MATRIXFREEDOM was a Private Members' Association (PMA) providing education, not regulated products. Third, the failure of lawful service, as the FCA utilized an email vector not controlled by Clifford and failed to produce machine-readable RFC-822 metadata to prove service.

Under the legal doctrine of nullity (citing *R v Morais* and *R v Thompson*), a trial or order based on an invalid foundation is a nullity from the beginning (*ab initio*). These jurisdictional failures are central to Clifford's defence and his assertion that the proceedings are a tactical manoeuvre to silence his whistleblowing regarding the £1 billion HBOS Reading fraud.

Summary of Findings

1. Foundational Jurisdictional Reorganization

- **The 1933 Shift:** The report anchors modern credit in **HJR 192** (1933), which shifted the global economy from a system of "**payment**" (extinguishing debt with substance like gold) to a system of "**discharge**" (balancing obligations with debt instruments like Federal Reserve Notes) .
- **The Usufruct Relationship:** It asserts that the removal of gold created a usufruct relationship where the government borrows an interest in the labour and estates of citizens, which are effectively "prepaid" with the credit created from the people.
- **Law of Agency:** It justifies the "Living Man" acting as an agent for the state-created birth certificate construct (the legal persona), a distinction recognized in orthodox English law concerning natural and artificial persons.

2. Technical Mastery: Ex Nihilo Credit & Publication 1212

- **Scientific Backing:** The protocol relies on the empirical research of **Professor Richard Werner** and the **Bank of England**, confirming that commercial banks create money "**ex nihilo**" by monetizing the borrower's signature.
- **The (≥) Rule:** The report details the **810 Algorithm**, which satisfies the IRS matching requirements by ensuring payer Form 945 deposits are greater than or equal to the recipient's withholding claims.
- **Fiduciary Structure:** Unlike failed schemes (e.g., Colaco/Goldberg) that use personal SSNs, the Clifford Protocol utilizes **98-Series International Grantor Trusts** to establish "Holder in Due Course" (HDC) status for ledger corrections.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org



3. Forensic Rebuttal of Dan Neidle's Allegations

- **Source Disqualification:** The report notes that Neidle's characterizations are based on failed "sovereign citizen" models, which the Ecclesia Law Institute itself has forensically debunked.
- **Technical Corrections:** It refutes seven specific assertions by Neidle, clarifying that:
 - Recoupments are conducted via a **qualified Electronic Return Originator (ERO)**, not Mr. Clifford personally.
 - The Indonesian company is a legitimate administrative entity providing services to grantor trusts.
 - The **2024 Pilot Scheme** achieved approximately **\$600,000,000** in verified IRS recoupments.

4. Whistleblowing Track Record vs. Regulatory Vendetta

- **Whistleblower Status:** The report highlights Clifford's 40-year career and his history of exposing high-level corruption, including the **£1 Billion HBOS Reading Fraud** and the **Arck Estrella Ponzi scheme**.
- **"Unclean Hands":** It characterizes the FCA's pursuit as a 15-year "regulatory vendetta" designed to silence Clifford, using **Order 34/2023** as an instrument of "Entrapment by Design".
- **Jurisdictional Nullity:** It details three fatal failures of Order 34/2023: lack of a lawful prosecutor, lack of evidence of regulated services, and failure of forensic metadata to prove service.

Ecclesia Law, Wyoming, USA.

Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice
MLITR Research LLC TA Ecclesia Law, 30 N Gould St Ste R Sheridan, WY 82801, service@ecclesialaw.org