COMMUNICATION UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE ROME STATUTE

Submitted to:

Office of the Prosecutor International Criminal Court (ICC) Oude Waalsdorperweg 10 2597 AK The Hague The Netherlands

Submitted by: lain Clifford

General Executor of the Estate known as IAIN CLIFFORD STAMP President, Republic of Old Souls Current Location: North Cyprus (in constructive exile)

Date: 30th July 2025

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION - PERSECUTION UNDER ARTICLE 7(1)(h)

Against the United Kingdom Government and its agents

1. OVERVIEW

A. This communication requests that the Office of the Prosecutor initiate a **Preliminary Examination** into systemic **crimes against humanity**, specifically **persecution**, committed by UK Crown agents and collaborators. These acts are directed against me, lain Clifford, a non-combatant whistleblower, spiritual envoy, and the President of the **Republic of Old Souls**, a lawful **508(c)(1)(A) ecclesiastical ministry**.

2. LEGAL BASIS - ROME STATUTE ARTICLE 7(1)(h)

- A. Persecution is defined as:
- B. "the intentional and severe deprivation of fundamental rights contrary to international law by reason of the identity of the group or collectivity."

- C. The following elements are satisfied:
 - Targeting: I am targeted as a whistleblower and as the spiritual leader of an independent, non-combatant, ecclesiastical body
 - Systemic Pattern: Continuous coordinated actions by FCA, judiciary, and Crown agencies
 - iii. **Deprivation of Rights**: Liberty, remedy, due process, and private property
 - iv. Motivated by Identity: Political retaliation and religious persecution

3. SPIRITUAL CAPACITY AND SOVEREIGN RIGHT TO FILE

- A. As the **President of the Republic of Old Souls**, a sovereign ministry organised under the laws governing a **508(c)(1)(A) Church Ministry**, I act in my lawful capacity as:
 - i. General Executor of the estate known as IAIN CLIFFORD STAMP
 - ii. Ambassador-at-Large of a sovereign ecclesiastical body
 - iii. Lawful representative of over 500 private trust members whose rights have also been infringed
- B. Under international law, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, and customary norms protecting religious missions, I assert full standing to file this communication as:
 - i. A persecuted spiritual envoy
 - ii. An individual denied access to domestic remedy
 - iii. A non-combatant asserting claims of persecution for religious and political beliefs

4. STATEMENT OF FACTS - PATTERN OF PERSECUTION

A. BRIEF CHRONOLOGY (2005-2025)

- iv. Whistleblowing on HBOS fraud and Richard Clay pension theft
- v. Unlawful liquidation of my company, Integrity, by the FSA and fraudulent censure
- vi. Denial of justice by MPs, the Treasury Select Committee, and FCA
- vii. Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fictitious prosecutor ("Entrapment via Order 34/2023, enforced using a fiction of the order of the or

- viii. General Civil Restraint Order barring me from defending myself in court
 - ix. Forcible removal of my Attorney-in-Fact, Mr. David Ayerst, in court
 - x. Scheduled incarceration on 30 July 2025 despite void jurisdiction
 - xi. Bank and bullion assets frozen in excess of £500,000, infrastructure dismantled

5. ORDER 34/2023 AND THE FRAUDULENT PROSECUTOR

- A. Order 34/2023 was engineered and enforced using a fictitious individual, "Second to any lawful officer with prosecutorial standing under POCA or FSMA.
- B. Despite multiple notices requesting proof of identity, authority, or delegation, the FCA failed to validate this individual. Continued reliance on this name constitutes fraud by false representation (Fraud Act 2006).
- C. Neither lain Clifford the man, nor any MATRIXFREEDOM member, has ever offered regulated financial services. This was confirmed by over 500 sworn witness statements. The FCA has not rebutted this, making Order 34/2023 void on its face.
- D. The FCA's goal was entrapment: to obtain a Civil Restraint Order against the man, not just the body corporate, thereby ensuring any act of living would breach the Order.
- E. This strategy resulted in the judgment of 16 July 2025, and a sentencing hearing now set for 30 July 2025, exposing the affiant to imminent incarceration.
- F. Crucially, Judge Anthony Baumgartner failed in his judicial duty to establish whether "was a bona fide prosecutor. He made no attempt to seek evidence from the FCA that they had reasonable cause to believe that regulated financial services were being conducted which would require authorisation. The absence of such due diligence points to a clear and deliberate dereliction of judicial responsibility.
- G. This judicial failure strongly suggests collusion between the FCA and Judge Anthony
 - a. Baumgartner in orchestrating an entrapment by design, culminating in Order 34/2023.
- H. This strategy resulted in the judgment of 16 July 2025, and a sentencing hearing now set for 30 July 2025, exposing the affiant to imminent incarceration.

6. JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AND VIOLATIONS OF NATURAL JUSTICE

- A. Prior to the judgment of 16 July 2025, I served a formal motion to strike out the Order as void ab initio, and a Notice of Recusal of Judge Anthony Baumgartner. Both were ignored.
- B. At the hearing on 30 June 2025, my Attorney-in-Fact, David Ayerst, attempted to read a statement requesting that the proceeding be moved to a Court of Record. He was forcibly removed by seven court security officers. This unlawful removal denied me my right to be heard.
- C. The judgment issued on 16 July 2025 was defective in law, omitting fundamental findings, relying on hearsay, lacking proof of breach, failing to identify a lawful prosecutor, and ignoring unrebutted notices and affidavits.
- D. I subsequently served an Affidavit of Rebuttal of the Judgment and reiterated the rescission of all service addresses. Despite this, the Court has scheduled a sentencing hearing on 30 July 2025. This is a breach of Article 6 (right to a fair trial) and Article 13 (right to effective remedy).
- E. I also served a formal Notice of Rescission of Bench Warrants and a supplemental ECHR submission.

7. PERSECUTION AND RETALIATION

- A. The present proceedings form part of a 20-year pattern of persecution arising from my whistleblowing:
 - i. Exposed HBOS asset stripping fraud (2005–2009)
 - ii. Reported regulatory failures to FSA/FCA (2011-onward)
 - iii. Filed complaints through MPs Caroline Dinenage and George Hollingbery, rebuffed by Margaret Cole and Andrew Bailey
 - iv. Submitted dossier to the Treasury Select Committee (2011), ignored
 - v. Exiled to North Cyprus under duress due to Civil Restraint Orders
- B. The persecution has continued through the denial of my judicial review in 2024 by Justice Sweeting, who refused to allow me to present my case as a pro se litigant, despite clear misconduct grounds.

8. IDENTIFIED PERPETRATORS

- A. Nikhil Rathi CEO, FCA
- B. FCA operative
- C. FCA expert witness
- D. **Judge Anthony Baumgartner** Southwark Crown Court
- E. **Justice Derek Sweeting** Royal Courts of Justice

- F. **Richard Harmer** UK Attorney General
- G. **Dan Neidle** Tax Policy Associates, reputational warfare
- H. **Simon Goldberg** EmpowerThePeople, Crown-aligned proxy
- All acted with mens rea and foreknowledge of my spiritual status and protected diplomatic role.

9. European Court of Human Rights (filed 25 July 2025)

- A. "This communication is supported by a parallel Article 34 application before the European Court of Human Rights (filed 25 July 2025), including extensive evidential material, which I am ready to supply in full upon request."
 - i. Affidavit of Facts and Legal Argument ECHR Article 34 This affidavit thoroughly documents the chronology of persecution, identifies named actors, and evidences systemic abuse with supporting exhibits. It establishes the pattern and severity of the conduct and helps demonstrate exhaustion of domestic remedies, ongoing harm, and state-level collusion.
 - ii. Addendum to ECHR Application (28 July 2025)
 This document introduces diplomatic status, ministerial commission, and spiritual persecution, adding religious and international protections to your case. It supports a Rome Statute Article 7 charge: Crimes against Humanity Persecution.

10. Further Evidence Available

A. Exhibit Bundle:

- Annex 1 Judicial Non-Rebuttal Log Silence as Procedural Entrapment
- Annex 2 (Expanded) Institutional Silence The Attorney General's Constructive Endorsement of Regulatory Abuse
- III. Mr Stamp Restraint Order
- IV. Judicial Review Bundle (Southwark Crown Court)
- V. Judicial Review Decision AC-2023-LON-003629 SLD
- VI. Sealed Variation Order (31 May 2024)
- VII. Affidavit Denial of Jurisdiction, Denial of Service, Malfeasance, Appointment of Attorney-in-Fact
- VIII. Motion to Strike Out
 - IX. Affidavit to Support Motion to Strike Out
 - X. Affidavit of Recusal of Judge Baumgartner
 - XI. Notice of Estoppel and Escalation (10 July 2025)
- XII. Affidavit of Rebuttal of Judgement
- XIII. Critique of Judgment (16 July 2025)
- XIV. Notice of Recission of Bench Warrants
- XV. Lawful Notice to Cease and Desist
- XVI. 18 July 2025 Letter to Registrar
- XVII. Alister Mackenzie Analyses
- XVIII. Crown Collusion Dossier
- XIX. Comparative Summary APPG Report on FCA
- XX. Anthony Stansfeld report on HBOS/FCA
- XXI. ECHR Case Precedents
- XXII. UK Human Rights Record Report
- XXIII. Annex 3 Comparative ECtHR Precedents Supporting my Claims
- XXIV. MATRIXFREEDOM Memorandum Propaganda
- XXV. Timeline of events (2005-2025)

11. COMPARATIVE ICC CASE LAW ANALYSIS

A. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo (DRC, 2012)

Lubanga's conviction for recruiting child soldiers showed the ICC's ability to hold individuals accountable for systematic harm. I am similarly targeted by Crown actors as a spiritual leader and whistleblower, in a campaign that is both prolonged and state-supported.

B. Germain Katanga (DRC, 2014)

Katanga was convicted for enabling abuses. UK officials such as Judge Baumgartner and Justice Sweeting enabled my persecution through institutional inaction and procedural fraud. Their liability is comparable.

C. Jean-Pierre Bemba (CAR, 2016)

Bemba was held liable for failing to act. UK officials were placed on notice

and took no action to intervene. Their silence confirms their complicity in the persecution.

D. Ahmad al-Faqi al-Mahdi (Mali, 2016)

Al-Mahdi was convicted for destroying religious heritage. UK Crown actors have targeted my lawful ministry, sabotaging a peaceful 508(c)(1)(A) Church structure. This is religious persecution in disguise.

E. Dominic Ongwen (Uganda, 2021)

Ongwen's conviction affirmed liability for prolonged persecution. I have endured 20 years of Crown retaliation, including media defamation, unlawful prosecution, and asset seizure—all supported by the state.

12. These precedents affirm that the ICC prosecutes individuals for orchestrated and institutionally-backed persecution—even if committed through legal, political, or reputational means.

13. REQUEST FOR ACTION

- A. In accordance with Article 15, I request:
 - i. **Preliminary Examination** into persecution by Crown agents
 - ii. Preservation of UK government and FCA legal records
- iii. Recognition of sovereign ecclesiastical status under international law
- iv. Notification to the UK Government of ICC jurisdiction

14. STRATEGIC VALUE

- A. This matter transcends personal grievance. It reveals:
 - The coordinated use of legal systems to persecute whistleblowers
 - II. State-sponsored reputational warfare against spiritual movements
 - III. A growing international precedent where non-combatant religious leaders are criminalised for lawful remedy work

15. DECLARATION

A. I, **lain Clifford**, submit this communication under full liability, oath, and bonour. Lact as:

- I. President of the Republic of Old Souls
- II. Lawful General Executor of the estate [IAIN CLIFFORD STAMP]
- III. Spiritual envoy of a non-combatant 508(c)(1)(A) Church Ministry

Signed:

lain Clifford

North Cyprus 30th July 2025

Address for correspondence:

4c Glebe Park Avenue

Bedhampton

Havant

Hants

PO9 3JR